

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRR (F) No.47 of 2017
Date of decision: 06.03.2017**

Sunny Deshwal

...Petitioner

Versus

Pooja

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Dinesh Kumar Jangra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J.

This petition has been filed against the order dated 16.12.2016 passed by the District Judge, Family Court, Rohtak, whereby petitioner-husband has been directed to pay a sum of Rs.10,000/- per month to respondent-wife as interim maintenance from the date of filing of the application.

A perusal of the impugned order shows that as per plea taken by applicant-wife (respondent herein), the petitioner earns approximately Rs.40,000/- to Rs.50,000/- per month as he is doing the business of private financing and property dealing.

The evidence with regard to actual income of petitioner-husband will be assessed by the trial Court at the final stage of petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. The interim maintenance awarded in favour of respondent-wife at the rate of Rs.10,000/- per month is not on the higher side.

No ground is made out to interfere in the impugned order.

Dismissed.

06.03.2017
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No