

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

207

**CWP-16237-2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.03.2017**

Sukhdev Lal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Chandigarh Administration and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.B. Bajanthri

Present: Mr. A.K.Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Suvir Sehgal, Advocate and
Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Advocate for respondent No.1.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

In the present writ petition, the petitioner has sought for a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to grant same pay scale, grade pay and consequential benefits on par with identical persons. In this regard, the petitioner has not approached the concerned authority by means of a demand. In the absence of demand, petitioner cannot seek writ of mandamus as held by the Supreme Court in the case of *Mani Subrat Jain Versus State of Haryana* reported in 1977(1) SCC 486. Hence petition stands rejected. Rejection of petition do not come in the way of petitioner to approach the concerned respondent to seek the relief sought in the present petition.

09.03.2017

pooja saini

**(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasons

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No