

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

219

**CRR(F)-255-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.09.2017.**

Parveen Kumar

....Petitioner.

Versus

Meena Devi

....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J.(Oral)

This petition has been filed challenging the impugned judgment/ award under Section 125 Cr.P.C. by the District Judge, Family Court, Karnal.

An application came to be filed at the behest of the respondent seeking maintenance, which was allowed at ₹4000/- per month. Aggrieved against the said order, the instant petition has been filed.

This matter was taken up on 10.07.2017 when an offer had been made by the counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner would be ready to settle the amount in lump sum and the matter should be referred to Mediation. At the same time, this Court had directed that a sum of ₹22,000/- to be deposited as litigation expenses, in the shape of a demand draft with the Registrar of this Court.

Mr. Sandhu, submits that he has made every effort to contact his client, however, there is no response therein and resultantly, the order

dated 10.07.2017 could not be complied with.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the fact that this Court had entertained the matter on account of the fact that the petitioner was ready to settle the dispute in lump sum, but has failed to come forth to deposit the litigation expenses, this Court is inclined to interfere in the matter.

Dismissed for non prosecution.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

18.09.2017
jitender sharma

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No