

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.16045 of 2013

Date of Decision:21.04.2017

Ram Kishan

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Ashok Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Dutt Sharma, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

Order dated 21.3.2017 has been complied by passing a speaking order dated 20.04.2017. Dispute is whether the petitioner is entitled for 3rd ACP on par with one Shri Partap Singh or not?

In this regard, competent authority has passed a speaking order dated 20.4.2017 in which it is stated that case of the petitioner cannot be compared with Shri Partap Singh for the reasons that the petitioner was promoted to the post of Binder whereas Shri Partap Singh was directly recruited to the post of Binder.

In view of the speaking order dated 20.4.2017, the present petition do not survive for consideration. If the petitioner is still aggrieved by the order dated 20.4.2017, he is at liberty file fresh petition.

With the above observation, petition stands disposed of.

21.04.2017
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No