

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.11827 of 2016
Date of Decision: 30.11.2017

Santosh Chauhan and others

.....PETITIONERS

Vs.

State Bank of India and others

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr.Nikhil Anand, Advocate for
Mr.Pankaj Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.Ashok Gupta, Advocate for the respondents.

JASPAL SINGH J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant writ petition filed under article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* quashing the order dated August 26, 2014 (Annexure P-5) and the decision dated December 26, 2014 (Annexure P-9) and for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to pay the subsistence allowance to the petitioners.

In response to the notice of motion issued by this Court, Mr.Ashok Gupta, Advocate appeared and represented the respondents. He placed on record copy of the receipt dated 17.10.2016 to show that all the payments have been made to the petitioners which has been issued by the petitioner(s) in token of having received the amount(s).

Learned counsel for the petitioners was advised to verify the payments(s) so made vide order dated December 13, 2016, but he failed to apprise this Court. In fact, in view of the said receipt dated 17.10.2016, no further action survives in the instant petition.

Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, but if the petitioners still feel aggrieved qua the payments made by the respondents or in respect of any delay in the payment thereof, they shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

30.11.2017

Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No