

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.1180 of 2016
Date of Decision:-07.02.2017.

Vir Vikram Shah

.....Petitioner

Versus

Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Arvind Galav, Advocate for
Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate the petitioner.

Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate for the respondents.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

In the present case, grievance of the petitioner is relating to stepping up of pay on par with one Sh. Ghanshyam Dass Gogia, Assistant Secretary (Retd.). Petitioner's representation dated 16.6.2014 was not considered. Hence, the present petition was filed. During pendency of the present petition, the respondents have considered the grievance of the petitioner and re-fixed his pay on par with his junior, namely, Sh. Ghanshyam Dass Gogia vide order dated 7.2.2017 and the same has been placed along with short affidavit filed by Apurv, Secretary Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board while enclosing as Annexure R-1. In view of these developments, the petition do not survive for consideration.

Petition stands disposed of reserving liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh petition if he feels that he is still aggrieved by Annexure R-1.

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

February 07, 2017.
sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.