

226

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-118-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 27.04.2017

Mohammad Sabir

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. P.B.S. Goraya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Yatinder Sharma, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. S.K. Pipat, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Manoj Kumar Pundir, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. G.N. Malik, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the private respondent(s) submits that the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous as private respondent No.3 has retired on 31.03.2017, as relief sought in the present writ petition is for issuance of a writ in the nature of *quo warranto* directing the respondent Nos.1 and 2 to take action against respondent No.3.

The petitioner has an independent remedy in any other form, but not in the manner and mode as indicated above.

Keeping in view the aforementioned facts, no cause of action

survives in the present writ petition.

Disposed of, accordingly.

27.04.2017
Yogesh Sharma

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

	✓
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/ No
	✓
Whether Reportable	Yes/ No