

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR(F)-152-2017
Date of decision : 19.4.2017

Puneet MagooPetitioner

versus

PunamRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate for petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Present revision petition has been filed against the order dated 6.2.2017 passed by learned District Judge, Family Court, Rohtak whereby interim maintenance of ₹ 10,000/- has been granted to respondent-wife.

The brief facts of the case are that marriage between the parties was solemnized on 10.8.2014. Petitioner is running a workshop of molding and cutting iron sheets and his monthly income is about approximately ₹ 15,000/- as per income tax return.

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that evidence has been led. As the case of the applicant-respondent is that he is also doing daily finance business, no case is made out for interference in the order passed by learned District Judge, Family Court Rohtak on 6.2.2017.

Dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

19.4.2017
preeti

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No