

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRR (F) No.146 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.04.2017**

Rajbir

...Petitioner

Versus

Kavita and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J.

CRM No. 11826 of 2017

For the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed
and delay of 1058 days in filing of the petition is condoned.

CRR (F) No.146 of 2017

This petition has been filed against the order dated 11.02.2014
passed by the District Judge, Family Court, Sonipat, whereby petitioner-
husband has been directed to pay maintenance of Rs.3500/- per month to the
respondents (wife and children) from the date of filing of the petition.

A perusal of the impugned order shows that the applicant-
respondents had alleged that the petitioner-husband was owner of 10 acres of
land and was running a dairy. However, in the absence of documentary
evidence in that regard, the maintenance has been rightly awarded by taking
the income of petitioner to be Rs.7,000/- per month as that of a daily wager.

The maintenance of Rs.3500/- per month for the respondent-wife and minor

children is not on the higher side, as the minor children are residing with respondent No.1-wife.

Accordingly, no ground is made out to interfere in the impugned order.

Dismissed.

07.04.2017
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No