

**218-2 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.804 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : December 14, 2017

M/s Universal Engineers and another Petitioners

Versus

Sh. Gurmeet Singh Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Deepa Jain, Advocate for
Mr. Y.D. Kaushik, Advocate for the respondent.

Mr. Pawan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Custody certificate filed in the Court today is taken on record.

It shows that the petitioner has undergone total sentence of 25 days.

The present petition has been pressed only on the point of quantum of sentence.

The petitioner had issued a cheque bearing No.533693, dated 23.03.2013 amounting to ₹2,00,000/-, which was dishonoured by the bank with the remarks 'Account closed'.

Out of the compensation amount, not even a single rupee has been paid to the complainant. Therefore, the sentence of rigorous imprisonment for one year cannot be called excessive or harsh. As such, there is no ground to reduce the sentence.

The present revision petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

December 14, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes Whether Reportable: No