

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR-735-2017 (O&M)
Decided on: 05.09.2017**

Deen Dayal and another	Versus	 Petitioners
State of Haryana		 Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Anand Kumar Bishnoi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (ORAL)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioners are old persons and their presence may be exempted. It is stated that the petitioners would have no objection in recording of evidence etc. through their counsel and would not object to the holding of trial on that ground.

In that view of the matter, the petitioners are allowed to appear before the trial Court exempting their presence. They shall appear before the trial Court, if the Court finds it necessary to have their presence before the Court, at a stage when the law requires them to remain present.

However, on all dates, their presence is not essential, they shall be exempted from personal appearance.

Let the trial be concluded within a period of 6 months from the date of receipt of this order.

Disposed of accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

05.09.2017
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No