

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-718-2017

Date of decision : 29.03.2017

Budh Raj

... Petitioner

Versus

Bal Krishan

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Mahesh Dheer, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Amit Kashyap, Advocate
for the respondent.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Vide an order of this Court dated 06.03.2017, the parties were directed to appear before the learned Area Magistrate, to get their statements recorded, in terms of the compromise.

Pursuant to the order dated 06.03.2017, the report of the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Nabha, has been received stating therein that the petitioner as also the respondent Bal Krishan recorded their statements in terms of the compromise reached with each other and as per the assessment of that Court, the compromise arrived at is genuine and voluntary.

In view of the aforesaid, the petition arising out of an offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, which in any case is a compoundable offence, the petition is allowed and

Complaint no.67, titled as “Bal Krishan vs. Budh Raj”, instituted before the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Nabha, on 05.05.2012, is hereby quashed and the petitioner is acquitted of the charges framed against him.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

March 29, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No