

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CRR No.715 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision:24.5.2017

Paramjit Kaur

... Petitioner

versus

Raj Sukhija and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

CRM No.17123 of 2017

On the last date of hearing when notice was issued, learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner had confined his prayer to the quantum of sentence only. Today by this application, a copy of a compromise deed in respect of the compromise reached between the petitioner and respondent no.1 is sought to be placed on record.

Notice in the application.

Mr.Mandeep Kaushik, Advocate, appears for respondent no.1 and has filed his power of attorney, which is taken on record.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.Rajesh Mehta, learned Addl.AG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent no.2.

Learned counsel for respondent no.1 does not dispute the factum of the compromise.

Consequently, the application is allowed. Copies of the compromise deed and the Aadhar Card of respondent no.1 are taken on

record as Annexures P-1 and P-2.

CRR No.715 of 2017 (O&M)

On consent of parties, the main case is taken up today itself for disposal.

Since the petitioner has been convicted for the commission of an offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, and the matter has been now compromised between the parties, thereby the offence being compounded in terms of Section 147 of the aforesaid Act, the petition itself is allowed, the petitioner having paid the outstanding amount to respondent no.1.

Consequently, the petitioner is acquitted of the charge framed against her and hence, she is ordered to be released forthwith.

24.5.2017
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No