

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11395-2016

Date of Decision : 01.02.2017

Mahima Singh

....Petitioner

versus

Union of India and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate,
for respondent No. 1- Union of India.

Ms. Charu Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2- H.P.C.L.

Mr. Anil Kumar Yadav, Additional A.G., Haryana, with
Mr. Jagmal Singh, S.D.O., PWD Department.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

The petitioner seeks a direction to respondent No. 4, Executive Engineer, Jagadhri Provincial Division, PWD (B&R), Yamuna Nagar, to issue "No Objection Certificate" to the petitioner, which has been refused on the ground that the plot of the petitioner did not fulfill the condition of requisite distance from toll plaza.

Learned counsel for the parties agree that toll plaza has now been shifted and on the basis of subsequent circumstances, a Committee consisting of Executive Engineers of PWD Department had been

constituted. The said Committee has considered the record of “No Objection Certificate” cases on January 20, 2017 and observed in favour of the petitioner.

In view of the said circumstances, this petition is allowed and a direction is issued to respondents No. 3 and 4 to consider the case of the petitioner for issuance of “No Objection Certificate” to the petitioner for retail outlet of Hindustan Petroleum Corporation in Village Shadipur, Yamuna Nagar, within a period of one month, after receipt of the certified copy of this order, taking into consideration the report of the Committee.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

February 01, 2017
apurva

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No