

**214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.547 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : November 15, 2017

G & G Associates

..... Petitioner

Versus

K.M. Cements and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Gurbir S. Sandhu, Advocate for
Mr. Dharam Pal, Advocate for the petitioner.

None for respondent No.1.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has produced the receipt of ₹18,000/- showing that the petitioner has deposited the litigation costs with the Legal Services Committee of this Court, being 15% of the settled amount as per authority of Hon'ble the Supreme Court delivered in case of ***“Damodar S. Prabhu v Sayed Babalal H., 2010(5) SCC 663.*** The same is taken on record.

It comes out from the order dated 18.09.2017 that the petitioner has effected a compromise with the respondent-complainant and the entire settled amount has been paid to him. The complainant-respondent has no objection if the petitioner is acquitted of the notice of accusation served upon him.

Since, the matter has been lawfully compounded and the litigation costs has also been paid, therefore, the judgment dated 08.02.2017

passed by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Jalandhar, as well as the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 14.05.2014 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate, Jalandhar, convicting and sentencing the petitioner, are hereby set aside and the petitioner is acquitted of the notice of accusation served upon. The bail bonds and surety bonds of the petitioner stand discharged.

As such, the present revision petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

November 15, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No