

**218 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.505 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : December 14, 2017

M/s Universal Engineers and another Petitioners

Versus

Sh. Gurucharan Singh Bhatia Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Deepa Jain, Advocate for
Mr. Y.D. Kaushik, Advocate for the respondent.

Mr. Pawan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Custody certificate filed in the Court today is taken on record.

It shows that the petitioner has already undergone the substantive sentence in the present case.

The present petition is pressed only on the point of quantum of sentence.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the amount of compensation of ₹7,50,000/- is quiet excessive.

Considering the fact that for the issuance of cheque bearing No.533692, dated 09.03.2013 amounting to ₹5,00,000/- and an additional sum of ₹2,50,000/- for use of money for four years, the compensation awarded cannot be called excessive.

As such, the present revision petition is dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

December 14, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes Whether Reportable: No