

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(1.)

CWP No.10989 of 2016
Date of Decision:-08.02.2017.

Vikram and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

(2.)

CWP No.14515 of 2016

Maneesha

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. H.P.S. Bhinder, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

By this order CWP Nos.10989 and 14515 of 2016 shall be disposed of as the point in issue involved in both the cases is similar. For brevity, facts are being extracted from CWP No.10989 of 2016.

In the instant writ petition, petitioners are seeking direction to continue to allow them to work on their respective post on contractual basis till the posts are filled up by way of regular recruitment and further sought for a direction restraining the respondents from replacing the petitioners

with any other contractual or temporary employees against their respective post. Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that no cause of action has accrued to the petitioners since the respondents have not taken any action either to go for regular recruitment or contract appointment as on the date of filing of writ petition or even to this day. Therefore, the petitions do not survive for consideration for the reason that only on presumption, the present petitions have been filed.

Petitions stand dismissed.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

February 08, 2017.
sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.