

120

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 4382 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 27.11.2017

Gurtej Singh Revisionist
Versus
State of Punjab and another Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. K.B.S. Mann, Advocate, for revisionist.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

It comes out that revisionist on obtaining the loan also executed a pronote and a receipt. Later on, revisionist paid the interest in cash and issued a cheque. The pronote and receipt were returned and endorsement regarding issuance of a cheque was made on the back of the pronote and the receipt.

After going through the judgments of both the Courts below, I do not find any illegality or perversity in the same. There is no merit in present case. The sentence in this case is of rigorous imprisonment for one year and revisionist has not paid even a single penny till date. There is no ground to reduce the sentence as well. Hence, revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

27.11.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No