Sanjiv Kumar Sharma
2017.12.21 14:49
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 4332 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 21.12.2017

Rajapal Singh Rana ..... Revisionist

Versus

Union Territory Chandigarh and another ..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. M.K. Pundir, Advocate, for revisionist.
None for respondent No. 2.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for revisionist has produced original receipt No. 142, dated 16.12.2017, for Rs. 11250/-, showing that composition fee has been deposited before the Legal Service Committee of this Court, in Court today and same is taken on record.

On the last date of hearing, the learned counsel for complainant-respondent No. 2 had stated that he has received Rs. 75000/- as full and final payment qua cheque in dispute and he has no objection, if revisionist is acquitted of notice of accusation, served upon him.

Since offence under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, has been lawfully compounded, therefore, the judgment dated 15.11.2017, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Chandigarh, and the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 11.7.2016, passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Chandigarh, are hereby set aside and revisionist is acquitted of notice of accusation, served upon him. Revision is accordingly allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

21.12.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No