

215 (3 cases)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision : 18.04.2017

1) CWP No.14837 of 2013 (O&M)

Kuldeep Singh Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents

2) CWP No.14974 of 2013 (O&M)

Satyavir Singh Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents

3) CWP No.15142 of 2013 (O&M)

Man Mohan and another Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ram Niwas Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

Since the issues involved are same all these three petitions are
disposed of by the common order. By these petitions the petitioners claimed

that persons junior to them have stolen a march over them. In the written statement, it has been pleaded that it was as the result of judicial orders that reviews were carried out and as the result of the reviews orders were passed as per which the petitioners were pushed back. It has further been explained that the exercise of review had to be carried out because of a long standing dispute which was ultimately decided by the Supreme Court and the Supreme Court had directed the respondents to carry out the review. It is however not been denied that before carrying out the exercise of review no notice was given to the persons who were likely to be affected prejudicially.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has made a very fair suggestion. He has stated that since the orders of review was passed as far back as on 16.04.2014. The petitioners would be satisfied if they are now allowed to file representations against this order.

Learned Senior Deputy Advocate General has very fairly accepted the offer and states that in case the petitioners filed necessary representations within a period of one month from the date of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, the respondent No.2-DGP, Haryana shall also pass a speaking order thereon within a period of three months thereafter.

I find this to be a fair submission. Consequently, the petitions stand disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

April 18, 2017
ashish

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No