

**225 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.4292 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : December 04, 2017**

Ravi **..... Petitioner**

Versus

State of Haryana **..... Respondent**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Punit Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Custody certificate dated 03.12.2017 filed in the Court today, is taken on record.

Heard on the point of quantum of sentence.

The petitioner was convicted for the commission of offence punishable under Section 411 IPC and sentenced to undergo simple imprisonment for one year and to pay fine of ₹500/-, in default thereof to undergo further simple imprisonment for one month by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Gurgaon, vide judgment of conviction dated 28.01.2016 and order of sentence dated 01.09.2016. However, in appeal, learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Gurugram, vide judgment dated 04.10.2017 reduced the substantive sentence awarded to the petitioner from simple imprisonment for one year to simple imprisonment for six months. However, the sentence of fine was maintained.

As per custody certificate, four other cases of theft are pending against the present petitioner, in which he is on bail. In one case, he has been acquitted.

Considering the criminal record of the petitioner, there is no ground to reduce the sentence awarded to the petitioner.

As such, the present petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

December 04, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No