

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 4269 of 2017

Date of Decision: 20.11.2017

Satto

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Lamdharia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that application filed by the petitioner has been dismissed by the trial Court with the observation that there is no evidence at this stage to summon Sonu and other accused named in the application. He seeks permission to withdraw the instant revision petition with liberty to file fresh application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. after producing evidence regarding the complicity of aforesaid persons in the occurrence.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty, as aforesaid.

It is, however, made clear that allowing of permission to file fresh application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. will not be taken as opportunity to petitioner to halt proceedings in the trial Court. However, after leading some evidence if the petitioner moves application under Section 319 Cr.P.C., that will be decided on merits and nothing observed herein shall be taken as opinion of this Court on merit.

**(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE**

November 20, 2017

jk

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No