

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP (PIL) No. 10611 of 2016

Date of decision : 07.03.2017

H.C. Arora, Advocate

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.S. SARON
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. J.S. Rana, Advocate for
Mr. H.C. Arora, Advocate–petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Pannu, DAG, Haryana for respondents.

* * *

S.S. SARON J.

The petitioner Mr. H.C. Arora, Advocate filed the present Civil Writ Petition in the nature of public interest litigation under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking directions against the State of Haryana through its Additional Chief Secretary, Food and Supplies Department, Chandigarh; Additional Chief Secretary to Government of Haryana–cum–Chairman Education and Cultural Affairs Department, Chandigarh and Collector–cum–Deputy Commissioner, Panchkula *i.e.* respondents No.1 to 3 to vacate the heritage building known as 'Nahan Kothi' situated in Sector 12-A, Panchkula. According to him the same is a protected monument, which has been encroached upon by the respondents.

Learned State counsel has submitted a letter dated 22/23.02.2017 that has been received in the office of Advocate General,

Haryana from the Additional Chief Secretary to Government of Haryana, Department of Food and Supplies & Consumer Affairs informing the Office of the Advocate General, Haryana that the Director, Archaeology and Museums, Haryana, Art-Design Building, Chandigarh has vide letter dated 24.01.2017 intimated that possession of Nahan Kothi has been taken by their department in the month of January, 2017.

A copy of the letter dated 24.01.2017 has been placed on record. The said letter is addressed by the Director, Archaeology and Museums, Haryana to the President, District Consumer Disputes Rederessal Forum, Panchkula and it is stated that 'Nahan Kothi', Panchkula which is a State protected monument vide Notification No.12/161-99-Pura/2234 dated 27.11.2007, has been taken over by the Archaeology and Museums Department, Haryana from the District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum, Panchkula.

In view of the said position, learned counsel appearing for Mr. H.C. Arora, Advocate submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as infructuous.

(S.S. SARON)
JUDGE

07.03.2017
sunil yadav

(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No