

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 4229 of 2017 (O/M)  
Date of decision : 17.11.2017

Sushil

..... Revisionist

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Gautam Bhardwaj, Advocate, for revisionist.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
  2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
  3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Perusal of judgments of both the Courts below shows that revisionist was one of the four persons, who robbed complainant on knife point on 7.12.2015, at about 9:30 PM. The knife used in the crime was recovered from revisionist. Both the Courts below have held that charges against present revisionist are proved. There is no illegality or perversity in the findings recorded by both the Courts below.

Faced with this situation, the learned counsel for revisionist prays for showing some leniency in the sentence.

Keeping in view the nature of crime, which are on rise in Chandigarh, there is no ground to reduce the sentence as well.

Present revision is accordingly dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)  
JUDGE

17.11.2017  
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No