

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 4228 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 16.11.2017

Rahul Kochhar alias Rahul

..... Revisionist

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate, for revisionist.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for revisionist contends that revisionist was convicted under Section 325 IPC by the Courts below for causing injury to Mahavir Singh son of Sobat Singh. Alongwith revision, an application under Section 320 Cr.P.C. read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed.

Notice of the application to complainant-respondent No. 2 (injured) Mahavir Singh as well as State.

On behalf of State of Punjab, Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG Punjab, accepts notice.

Mr. Abhimanyu Vinayak, Advocate, appeared for complainant-respondent No. 2 (injured) and filed Vakalatnama on his behalf and same is taken on record. Compromise deed 13.11.2017 has been placed on file as Annexure-P-1, which shows that the parties have effected a compromise.

Today, Mahavir Singh, complainant-respondent No. 2 (injured), who is present in Court, has filed an affidavit, stating that he has no

CRR No. 4228 of 2017 (O/M)

objection, if revisionist is acquitted of charges framed against him and same is taken on record. The original Aadhar card of complainant-respondent No. 2 (injured) seen and returned, photocopy of which is already on file as Annexure-P-2.

In view of the fact that the parties have effected a compromise and offence under Section 325 IPC is compoundable with the permission of Court and to maintain peace between the parties, the permission is hereby granted. Since offence under Section 325 IPC has been lawfully compounded, therefore, revision is allowed. Revisionist is acquitted of the charges framed against him under Section 325 IPC after setting aside the judgments of both the Courts below. Revisionist be released forthwith, if not required in any other case.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

16.11.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No