

**104 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.4214 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : December 11, 2017**

Balwinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Karamjit Singh and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Jatinder Sing Khokher, Advocate for
Mr. R.S. Pandher, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.S. Dhindsa, Advocate for complainant-respondent No.1.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

As per office report, litigation cost of ₹19,500/- being 15% of the settled amount as per authority of Hon'ble the Supreme Court delivered in case of "*Damodar S. Prabhu v Sayed Babalal H.*", 2010(5) SCC 663, has been deposited with the Legal Services Committee of this Court.

On the last date of hearing, complainant-respondent No.1 was present in person along with his counsel. It was stated that the parties have compromised the matter and the complainant-respondent No.1 has no objection, if the petitioner is acquitted of the notice of accusation served upon him.

Since, the offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, has been lawfully compounded and the litigation cost has also been deposited with the Legal Services Committee of this Court, therefore, the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated

01.11.2014 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Fatehgarh Sahib

as well as the judgment dated 23.10.2017 passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Fatehgarh Sahib, are hereby set aside. The petitioner is acquitted of the notice of accusation served upon him. His bail bonds and surety bonds stand discharged.

As such, the present revision petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

December 11, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No