

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

212

CWP-7114-2009 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.02.2017

Rajender Parshad

.....Petitioner

Versus

Engineer-in-Chief, Haryana Irrigation Department and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.B. Bajanthri

Present: Mr.N.K.Malhotra , Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Vanita Jain, Advocate for
Mr. G.P.Singh, Advocate for the respondent No. 6.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, the petitioner has questioned the validity of Annexure P3 which is a tentative seniority list of Revenue Clerk. Further he has sought for a direction to the respondents to consider the seniority and also sought for a deemed date of promotion for the post of Revenue Clerk on par with one Dhanpat Singh who is stated to be junior-6th respondent. Perusal of Annexure P3 it is evident that it is only a tentative seniority list of Revenue Clerk as on 01.04.2005 Irrigation Department, Haryana. Against tentative/provisional seniority list of Revenue Clerk, if

petitioner is aggrieved by ranking assigned to him with reference to service particulars he has to make necessary objections to the same before it is finalized. Therefore, no interference is called for insofar as Annexure P3 is concerned. However, petitioner is permitted to approach the authorities to finalize the seniority list of Revenue Clerk prepared on 01.04.2005 (Annexure P3) if it is not finalized by filing necessary objections, if any. Thereafter, if any grievance still subsists he may seek before the competent authority.

With the above observations, petition stands dismissed.

(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

13.02.2017

pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasons

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No