

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 17848 of 2012 (O&M)  
Date of decision : March 03, 2017

Ruby Joshi

..... Petitioner

v.

Chandigarh Administration and others

..... Respondents

\*\*\*

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI**

\*\*\*

Present : Mr. Parveen Gupta, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate  
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. RS Cheema, Advocate  
for respondents No.3 and 4.

\*\*\*

**Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)**

By this writ petition, the petitioner has sought re-designation as a senior Librarian and commensurate increase of pay.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the representation moved by the petitioner in this regard was rejected by a completely non speaking and cryptic order (Annexure P-11). Counsel for respondents No.1 and 2 has fairly accepted that it would have been more appropriate if a speaking order had been passed.

Counsel for the petitioner states that though the claim of the petitioner is already long belated yet she would be satisfied if the concerned respondent is directed to re-decide her representation by passing a speaking

order thereon, and keeping in mind the fact that the restriction (Annexure P-7) placed on the Librarians working in the aided Colleges was not applied to the Librarians working in government Colleges.

Counsel for respondents No.1 and 2 states that he is not in a position to confirm or deny whether the restriction was limited only to Librarians working in the aided Colleges but this issue would be kept in mind while re-deciding the representation.

In the circumstances, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to re-decide the representation (Annexure P-10) by passing a speaking order thereon and also keeping in mind the question of parity of the condition imposed by Annexure P-7. Necessary order be passed within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order and in case the petitioner is found entitled to any relief, the same be granted to her within that period. It is made clear that if any due monetary relief is not granted to her within the aforesaid period, she would be entitled to get the same with interest @ 12% pa from the date/s the amount/s fell due.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.Ms, if any, also stand disposed of.

( AJAY TEWARI )  
JUDGE

March 03, 2017  
'kk'

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable:        | Yes/No |