

114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.4120 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: November 10, 2017

Rajesh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Arjun Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Viraj Gandhi, Advocate
for the complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

After hearing learned counsel for the rival parties, learned counsel for the petitioners does not want to press the present petition.

Statement is accepted. However, the petitioners are at liberty to raise all the points qua the summoning order passed by the trial Court on 28.10.2017 before appropriate forum.

Learned counsel for the petitioners makes a statement that the trial Court has issued non-bailable warrants for appearance of the petitioners pursuant to the order made under Section 319 Cr.P.C.

In my opinion, the ordinary course would be either issue summons or bailable warrants rather than non-bailable warrants and then allow the petitioners to apply for anticipatory/regular bail as the case may be.

In that view of the matter, non-bailable warrants are kept in abeyance till the petitioners file petition for grant of bail before the trial Court.

The trial Court shall decide such a petition for bail.

Disposed of.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

November 10, 2017

anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No