

FAO-M-230-M-2006

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO-M-230-M-2006

Date of Decision: October 25, 2017

Satbir

...Appellant

Versus

Kelo Devi

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH**

Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar Jindal, Advocate
for the appellant.

M.M.S. BEDI, J.(ORAL)

Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate, has filed memo of appearance on behalf of the respondent and seeks time to get instructions. However, counsel for the appellant submitted that the appellant has already taken the papers from him after the matter was not settled before the Permanent Lok Adalat.

The Court notice sent to the appellant at its own expenses has been received back with a report that he has left the house and so far as notice sent to his counsel is concerned, it is reported that his address is not found in the directory of the Bar Association.

Taking into consideration the abovesaid circumstances, we are of the opinion that besides the obligation of the counsel, it is also the duty of a litigant to pursue his case with diligently and he should be vigilant about the proceedings initiated by him.

FAO-M-230-M-2006

In view of the statement made by Mr. Ashok Kumar Jindal, Advocate that the appellant has taken away the papers and has opted not to contact him, no option is left for this Court except to dismiss the present appeal for non-prosecution.

Dismissed as such.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

October 25, 2017
Harish

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No