

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CWP No. 11406 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: September 07, 2017

Narinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Arvind Kashyap, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Parminder Singh Kanwar, Advocate,
for the respondents.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner is candidate for the recruitment to the post of Constable GD. According to his claim order of preference are to the category of ABD.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner is entitled to shift his category from ABD to E. If his category shifted to E category, in that event, petitioner is entitled to selection with reference to cut of percentage and last candidate's merit. In support of this contention, he relied on Mr. Honey Sharma's case where the respondents have permitted Mr. Honey Sharma to shift his category from B to F.
3. Perusal of para no.7 of reply statement, it is evident that Sh. Honey Sharma was extended the benefit of shifting him from B to F for the reasons that inadvertently his name was considered in Category B

when he has given preference to F. Whereas in the present case, petitioner preference was only ABD category, therefore, question of shifting his order of preference under category E do not arise. During the process of selection and after exercising option to particular category, there is no provision for shifting or changing of category.

4. In view of these facts and circumstances, petitioner has not made out a case.

5. Instant writ petition stands dismissed.

September 07, 2017

vk d

[P.B. Bajanthri]
Judge

Whether reasoned / speaking : Yes

Whether reportable : No