

210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-14620-2013 (O&M)
Date of decision : 14.03.2017**

Braham Parkash and others

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Financial Commissioner, Haryana and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arjun Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. A.R. Takkar, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

Mr. Navdeep Kalair, Advocate
for respondent No.6 & 8.

Mr. Shaurya Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.7.

Mr. Sanjay Viz, Advocate
for respondent No.9.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that on three occasions, he had sought the instructions from his client with regard to the compromise having been arrived at between the petitioners and respondent No.9, as according to Mr. Sanjay Viz, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.9, all the co-sharers have already entered into an agreement to sell, much less, sold the land.

The compromise is between the petitioners and respondent No.9. Perhaps it is the reasons that the petitioners are not interested in passing the instructions.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

Liberty is granted to the petitioners to revive the matter in case something survives.

14.03.2017
Yogesh Sharma

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

	✓
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/ No
	✓
Whether Reportable	Yes/ No