

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 3878 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 28.11.2017

Narain Singh

..... Revisionist

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. S.N. Pillania, Advocate, for revisionist.

Mr. Pawan Garg, AAG Haryana.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Custody certificate dated 27.11.2017 filed in Court and same is taken on record.

Heard.

Revisionist, being a Sarpanch of the village, is alleged to have embezzled a sum of little less than Rs. 14,00,000/- from panchayat funds. The lower Court file received and perused.

The perusal of file shows that it was proved that revisionist forged the signatures and thumb impressions of some panches to show their presence in passing the fake resolutions. He also withdrew money from various accounts under different schemes and did not enter the same in cash book. The Panchayat Secretary has deposed that a sum of Rs. 9,21,382/- was embezzled by revisionist from Safai Karamchari fund. There were embezzlements from some development accounts also.

CRR No. 3878 of 2017 (O/M)

After going through the judgments of both the Courts below, I do not find any illegality, perversity or an error in the judgments. As such, there is no ground to interfere in the impugned judgments of both the Courts below.

Faced with these circumstances, the learned counsel for revisionist has pressed the revision on the point of quantum of sentence.

The maximum sentence awarded in this case is rigorous imprisonment for one year and as per the custody certificate, revisionist has undergone total sentence of 4 months and 20 days including remission of 5 days. Considering the amount of embezzlement and the fact that revisionist as a Sarpanch of village embezzled the public funds entrusted to him under various heads, there is no ground to reduce the sentence as well. Hence, revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

28.11.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No