

**216 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.3793 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : December 12, 2017**

Kuldeep **Petitioner**

Versus

State of Haryana and another **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Makkad, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Parshant Sethi, Advocate for respondent No.2.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondent No.2-bank has stated that the cheque amount involved in the present case is ₹5,53,053/- and the bank has received ₹6,87,000/- from the petitioner, though the loan is still outstanding.

Keeping in view the aforesaid, the sentence awarded to the petitioner by both the courts below is reduced to the period already undergone by him.

With the abovenoted modification, the present revision petition is dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

December 12, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No