

**216 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.3750 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : November 02, 2017**

Satnam Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Gagan Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Custody certificate dated 02.11.2017 of the petitioner has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

The present revision petition is pressed only on the point of quantum of sentence.

A perusal of the lower court judgment shows that the petitioner was driving Tempo Traveller, bearing registration PB-01-4355 containing 33 cartoons of Bagpiper and 48 cartoons of High Speed whisky, which is meant for sale in Chandigarh only. It goes to show that the petitioner was smuggling heavy quantity of whisky meant for sale in Chandigarh only, so as to avoid excise duty on the liquor payable in the State of Punjab. The sentence awarded to the petitioner by the trial court is of rigorous imprisonment for six months alongwith fine.

In the given circumstances, I do not find any ground to reduce the sentence awarded to the petitioner.

As such, the present revision petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

November 02, 2017
sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No