

211

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 3725 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 10.11.2017

Ved Pal

..... Revisionist

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Yashveer Kharb, Advocate, for revisionist.

Mr. R.K. Makkad, DAG Haryana.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Notice of motion was issued on the quantum of sentence only. Custody certificate dated 9.11.2017 has been filed in Court today and same is taken on record, which shows that revisionist has undergone total sentence of 2 months and 4 days.

Revisionist was sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for one year and fine of Rs. 1,000/-, in default thereof, to further undergo simple imprisonment for six months under Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, vide judgment of conviction dated 7.12.2015 and order of sentence, dated 8.12.2015, passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Gohana. The appeal filed against the same was also dismissed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sonapat, vide judgment dated 18.9.2017. The recovery in this case is of one country made illicit pistol.

The learned counsel for revisionist contends that since

CRR No. 3725 of 2017 (O/M)

revisionist is first offender, therefore, he is to be released on probation. The offence carries the minimum sentence of one year and lesser punishment can be awarded in the special circumstances. He further contends that the parents of revisionist are old and he is to look after his parents.

I am of the view that this is not special circumstance to reduce the sentence awarded. There is no ground to interfere in the matter of sentence. Hence, revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

10.11.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No