

**109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.3605 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : October 04, 2017**

Surender Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Amit Kumar Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

The allegations against the petitioner are that he submitted forged copies of jamabandi pertaining to land measuring 32 kanal and obtained loan amounting to ₹1,80,000/- from the bank under Kisan Credit Card Scheme. He also executed a mortgage deed in favour of the bank. He also signed the requisite form. Later on, it was found that the said land does not belong to him.

The petitioner along with co-accused was convicted for the commission of offence punishable under Section 420 IPC and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for three years and to pay a fine of ₹2,000/-, in default of payment of fine to further undergo simple imprisonment for 1 month, vide judgment of conviction dated 28.01.2015 and order of sentence dated 31.01.2015 by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Rewari. The said substantive sentence was reduced to 1 year by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, vide judgment dated 11.07.2017.

After going through the file, I am of the view that the offence is proved beyond all reasonable doubts and there is no illegality or infirmity in the findings recorded by two courts below. The first appellate court has already taken a lenient view by reducing the sentence from rigorous imprisonment for 3 years to rigorous imprisonment for 1 year. No further leniency is possible.

As such, the present revision petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

October 04, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No