

**103 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.3564 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : December 20, 2017**

Sanjeev Sachhar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Ajay Kumar

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Atul Bhatia, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

In continuation of the order of the even date passed before the lunch, learned counsel for the petitioner has produced the original receipt showing that he has deposited ₹2,000/- as litigation fees. It was stated by the complainant-respondent that he has received ₹3,00,000/- from the accused-petitioner in the complete satisfaction of his claim and he has no objection, if the accused-petitioner is acquitted of the notice of accusation served upon him.

Since the offence punishable under Section 138 Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 has been lawfully compounded, therefore, the judgment dated 14.09.2017 passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri and the judgment of conviction dated 17.11.2014 and order of quantum of sentence dated 18.11.2014 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri are hereby set aside.

The petitioner stands acquitted of the notice of accusation served upon him.

He be released forthwith, if not required in any other case.

As such, the present revision petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

December 20, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No