

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-927-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 19.01.2017

GUDDI

...Petitioner

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present : Ms. Madhu Dayal, Advocate/Amicus Curiae
for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The learned Amicus Curiae for the petitioner submits that in the complaint inadvertently date of illegal detention has been reflected as 09.04.2016 instead of 09.06.2016, which is stated to be a typographical error. She further states that after picking son of the petitioner, namely, Sunny, on 09.06.2016, he was shown to be arrested on 11.06.2016, therefore, during interregnum period he was kept in illegal detention.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel refers to report of SDM, Rampura Phul dated 22.08.2016, wherein, he had observed that there was no movement of the police officials either on 09.04.2016 or 09.06.2016. Accordingly, he overrules the false implication or illegal detention of son of the petitioner as alleged by the petitioner. He further brought to the notice of the Court that complaint was moved on 29.06.2016 after a long gap of 18 days, therefore, the instant complaint is nothing but a device to secure the

release of alleged detenu, who is involved in FIR No.50 dated 11.06.2016, registered at Police Station Phul.

In this view of the matter, no further action is warranted.

Disposed of.

However, if the petitioner feels that his grievance has not been redressed, she is free to file any appropriate petition for redressal of her grievance.

19.01.2017

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No