

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13975-2013

Date of Decision: August 18, 2017

Satinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**

- | | | |
|----|---|---------|
| 1. | To be referred to the Reporters or not? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | Yes/No. |
| 3. | Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | Yes/No |

.....

Present: Mr.Amit Jhanji, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr.Vishal Garg, Addl.A.G.,Haryana.
Mr.Paramjeet Singh, Advocate for
Mr.Partap Singh, Advocate for respondent No.4.
Mr.Anirudh Singh, Advocate for respondent No.5.

.....

SURYA KANT, J.

The controversy pertains to the procedure required to be followed for conducting elections of Mayor, Senior Deputy Mayor and Deputy Mayor as envisaged under the Haryana Municipal Corporation Act, 1994. It is not in dispute that the election in question had taken place on 04.07.2013. The term of the elected office bearers is near to expire. At this juncture, it thus appears that the writ petition, in a way, has become infructuous except that the question of law raised in this writ petition is to be kept open for adjudication as and when the occasion arises.

Ordered accordingly.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

August 18, 2017
meenuss

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |