

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR No. 3404 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 12.10.2017

SUKHWINDER KAUR ALIAS SUKHI

... Petitioner

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH.**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Godara, Advocate,
 for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

KULDIP SINGH, J (ORAL)

Heard.

The record of the lower Court has been received and examined.

The allegations are that the present petitioner-Sukhwinder Kaur alongwith Manjit Singh had represented that Manjit Singh is a Field Officer and for advancing of loan, they extracted ₹4,500/- each from Gurjant Singh, Jaswant Singh, Gurdeep Singh and Bohar Singh. The witnesses stood by their statements.

The learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gidderbaha vide judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 27.02.2017 has convicted the present petitioner under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for one year and to pay a fine of Rs. 1000/- and in default thereof to further undergo imprisonment for 14 days. The appeal against the said order was dismissed by the learned Sessions Judge, Sri Muktsar Sahib vide judgment dated 06.09.2017.

I am of the view that the allegations against the present petitioner are well supported by the evidence. After going through the lower Court file, I do not find any illegality in the findings recorded by both the Courts below. Keeping in view the nature of the offence, there is no ground to reduce the sentence awarded to the petitioner as well.

In view of the above, the present revision stands dismissed.

October 12, 2017

Suresh Kumar

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	✓ Yes	/	No ✓
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	Yes	/	No