

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No754 of 2016

Date of Decision: 18.03.2017

Amarjit @ Pandit @ SonuPetitioner
Versus

State of Punjab and others ... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Ms. Monita Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Addl, AG, Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon order dated 10.07.2013 passed in CRM-M No.34043 of 2012 titled Vijay Kumar Vs. State of Punjab and others and contended that the aforesaid co-accused was involved in the present FIR and he has since been released prematurely in view of Punjab Government Instructions/Policy dated 08.07.1991. In the case of the petitioner, no order has been made by the respondents-State.

Learned State counsel submitted that as per the petition, no representation appears to have been filed by the petitioner for seeking parity with that of co-accused Vijay Kumar.

This petition can be disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to look into the grievance of the petitioner and if his case is found to be at par with co-accused Vijay Kumar, then necessary orders be passed within one month from today in accordance with law. If his case is not found to be covered, then petitioner be informed accordingly at the earliest.

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

18.03.2017
prince

**Whether Reasoned/Speaking
Whether Reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**