

230

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 3311 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 3.10.2017

Rameshwar Yadav

..... Revisionist

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Varun Gupta, Advocate, for revisionist.

Mr. Pawan Garg, AAG Haryana.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Custody certificate filed in Court today and same is taken on record.

Heard.

In this case a 10 year girl child Neha was crushed when the crane being driven by revisionist was reversed without caring for somebody standing behind it. There are concurrent findings of two Court below.

The learned counsel for revisionist contends that crane was parked on the slope and some child put it in neutral gear with the result that the crane started reversing on the slope. However, this was the defence of accused which was never proved. There are no merits in present revision.

Considering that a 10 year old girl child died, there is no ground to reduce the sentence of rigorous imprisonment for one year. Hence, the revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

3.10.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No