

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM Nos.28561-62 of 2017 in/and
CRR No.3214 of 2017
Date of decision: September 11, 2017**

Jai Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: None.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J.(ORAL)

Even on third call, none has appeared on behalf of the applicant/petitioner.

More so, this appeal is not maintainable as the petitioner has already preferred an appeal before the First Appellate Court i.e. the Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra. Therefore, the petitioner ought to have filed revision.

In view of above, the instant appeal along with an application for condonation of delay of 170 days in filing the same, being not maintainable, stands dismissed.

September 11, 2017
m. sharma

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No