

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.3190 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : October 04, 2017**

Deepak Singhi

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sandeep Kumar and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Amandeep Singh Meho, Advocate for
Mr. Varun Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

None for the respondents.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Office has reported that a sum of ₹10,000/- has been deposited with the Legal Service Committee of this Court vide DO NO.89, dated 22.09.2017, being 10% of the cheque amount.

Heard.

It comes out from the order dated 07.09.2017 passed by this Court that a compromise dated 19.08.2017 (Annexure P-1) has been effected between the parties. The complainant has received the entire cheque amount and he has no objection if the present revision petition is allowed and the judgments passed by both the courts below are set aside and the petitioner is acquitted of the notice of accusation served upon him.

Since, the offence under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 has been compounded lawfully and the litigation expenses have also been deposited with the Legal Services committee of this Court, therefore, the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated

08.04.2015 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Fatehgarh Sahib, as well as the judgment dated 02.08.2017 passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Fatehgarh Sahib are hereby set aside and the petitioner stands acquitted of the notice of accusation served upon him and his bail bonds and surety bonds also stand discharged.

As such, the present revision petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

October 04, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No