

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 13677 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.04.2017

Angrez Singh

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Ram Niwas Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Keshav Gutpa, AAG Haryana.

P. B. BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)

The civil writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking quashing of order dated 13.02.2013 (Annexure-P7) vide which claim of the petitioner regarding stepping up of his pay at par with his junior has been rejected and which is against the revised pay scales.

The petitioner's grievance is that his juniors Sita Ram and Sudhir Kumar are getting higher pay than the petitioner. Even though from the service particulars of the petitioner it is evident that he is senior to Sita Ram and Sudhir Kumar.

Learned counsel for the State specifically pointed out from the reply wherein respondents have withdrawn the fixation of pay of Sita Ram and Sudhir Kumar which was given wrongly. Therefore, the petitioner is not entitled for step up of pay at par with Sita Ram and

Sudhir Kumar.

In view of above, the civil writ petition is dismissed.

(P. B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

24.04.2017

kv

Whether speaking/non-speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No