

Revisionist Harpal Singh is stated to have issued four cheques of Rs. 2,50,000/- each, bearing No. 290984, 290985, 290986, 290987, dated 10.5.2012, 20.5.2012, 31.5.2012 and 6.6.2012 respectively, totalling Rs. 10,00,000/-, drawn on State Bank of Patiala, Main Branch, Nabha, in favour of respondent-complainant, which were dishonoured by the bank for the want of sufficient funds. The trial Court has awarded simple imprisonment for six months to revisionist and order him to pay Rs. 1,00,000/- as compensation to complainant under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, vide judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 29.2.2016, passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Nabha. The sentence was affirmed by the lower appellate Court, where in, a cross appeal filed by complainant for enhancement of sentence of revisionist was also dismissed, vide judgment dated 25.7.2017, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala. The learned counsel for revisionists has

CRR No. 3059 of 2017 (O/M)

pressed revision only on the quantum of sentence. So far as revision of revisionist is concerned, I am of the view that there is no ground to interfere in the quantum of sentence, awarded by the lower Court, as revisionist has paid only fraction of cheque amount i.e. Rs. 4,00,000/-, out of Rs. 10,00,000/-. Hence, revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

11.9.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No