

208

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 3049 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 3.10.2017

Vinod Kumar alias Sonu

..... Revisionist

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Arjun Atri, Advocate, for revisionist.

Mr. Pawan Garg, AAG Haryana.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

For detailed judgment, see orders passed in CRR No. 3047 of 2017, titled as Sanjeev Kumar alias Rinku Versus State of Haryana, of even date.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

3.10.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No