

208

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of decision : 3.10.2017

CRR No. 3047 of 2017 (O/M)

Sanjeev Kumar alias Rinku

..... Revisionist

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CRR No. 3049 of 2017 (O/M)

Vinod Kumar alias Sonu

..... Revisionist

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Arjun Atri, Advocate, for revisionists in both cases.

Mr. Pawan Garg, AAG Haryana.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

By this single judgment, I will dispose of two revisions bearing CRR No. 3047 of 2017 and CRR No. 3049 of 2017, filed by revisionists, as the same are arising out of the same judgment, passed by the lower Courts below.

Revisionists were convicted by two Courts below under Section 411 IPC and were sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for one year, vide judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 7.6.2016, passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ambala. Revision is pressed on the quantum of sentence only.

CRR-3047-2017 (O/M)
CRR-3049-2017 (O/M)

Custody certificates filed in Court today and same are taken on record. As per the custody certificates dated 29.9.2017, both revisionists have undergone total sentence of 4 months and 6 days including remissions. No other previous case is pending or decided against both revisionists.

Considering the entirety of facts and circumstances, the substantive sentence of rigorous imprisonment for one year, awarded under Section 411 IPC, is reduced to rigorous imprisonment for eight months.

With the abovenoted modification, both the revisions are dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

3.10.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No