

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR 3027-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.10.2017

Sanjay

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: Ms.Deepa Jain, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.R.K.Makkad, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner presses the revision only on the quantum of sentence.

The petitioner was convicted under Sections 279 and 304-A IPC by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Faridabad vide judgment of conviction dated 21.1.2016 and vide order of sentence dated 25.1.2016, he was sentenced to undergo Rigorous Imprisonment for six months under Section 279 IPC and was further sentenced to undergo Rigorous Imprisonment for one year under Section 304-A IPC. Both the sentences were directed to run concurrently. Appeal againsts the said judgment was dismissed by learned Sessions Judge, Faridabad vide judgment dated 6.7.2017.

Today, Custody certificate dated 3.10.2017 filed in Court and

the same is taken on record. It shows that as on 3.10.2017, the petitioner has undergone the total sentence of 3 months and 28 days, including remissions of 1 month. Perusal of the lower Court judgment shows that the petitioner drove a TATA Turbo red colour car bearing registration no.DL-1M-6273 rashly and negligently and caused death of one Rajiv Chaudhary and after the accident, fled away from the spot.

Keeping in view the facts, circumstances and conduct of the petitioner in fleeing away from the spot, there is no ground to reduce the sentence awarded to the petitioner.

Resultantly, the present petition stands dismissed.

30.10.2017*gk***(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No